UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/581,946 | 11/08/2000 | Jose Francisco Garcia Martin | GARCIA-MARTI | 6651 | |
| 1444 7590 10/17/2007 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 624 NINTH STREET, NW | | | REDMAN, JERRY E | | |
| SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 3634 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/17/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|--|
| 09/581,946 | GARCIA MARTIN ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | · | |
| Jerry Redman | 3634 | | |

| | Jerry Redman | 3634 | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the d | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| a) | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 706.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL | extension and the corresponding amount eshortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 October 2007</u>. A lithe date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repair to the control of the contro | , or any extension thereof (37 CFR | 41.37(e)), to avoid dis | missal of the | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | The second secon | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be | onsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling | a corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: the additional phraseology raises new is | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(| | • | • | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . |) | ill be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>24-31 and 39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | out before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | t be entered s necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) | . (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Jerry Redman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3634 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)